

2.6 Relations among several classes of Non-normal operator

2.6.1 Paranormal operator

Definition 1:

An operator T on a Hilbert space H is said to be a paranormal operator if $\|T^2x\| \geq \|Tx\|^2$ for any unit vector $x \in H$.

Definition 2:

An operator T on a Hilbert space H is said to be a subnormal operator if T has a normal extension N that is, there exists a normal operator N on a larger Hilbert space $K \supset H$ such that $Tx = Nx$ for all $x \in H$.

Theorem 1

If T is a paranormal operator, then the following inequalities hold for any vector $x \in H$

$$(p-1) \|T\| \geq \dots \frac{\|T^{n+1}x\|}{\|T^n x\|} \geq \dots \frac{\|T^4x\|}{\|T^3x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^3x\|}{\|T^2x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^2x\|}{\|Tx\|} \geq \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$$

If T is an invertible paranormal operator then the following inequalities hold for any vector $x \in H$

$$(p-2) \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|T^{-1}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-1}x\|}{\|T^{-2}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-2}x\|}{\|T^{-3}x\|} \geq \dots \frac{\|T^{-n+1}x\|}{\|T^{-n}x\|} \geq \dots \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$$

Proof:

Since T is paranormal,

$$\|T^2x\| \geq \|Tx\|^2 \text{ for any } x \in H \text{ with } \|x\| = 1 \quad (1)$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ in (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T^2 \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \right\| &\geq \left\| T \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \right\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|T^2x\| &\geq \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} \|Tx\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|T^2x\|}{\|Tx\|} \geq \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \text{ for any } x \in H. \quad (2)$$

Replacing x by Tx in (2),

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|T^3x\|}{\|T^2x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^2x\|}{\|Tx\|}$$

Repeating this, we get,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|T^{n+1}x\|}{\|T^nx\|} \geq \frac{\|T^nx\|}{\|T^{n-1}x\|} \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Also $\|T^{n+1}x\| \leq \|T\|\|T^nx\|, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots$

Hence we get,

$$\|T\| \geq \dots \geq \frac{\|T^{n+1}x\|}{\|T^nx\|} \geq \dots \geq \frac{\|T^4x\|}{\|T^3x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^3x\|}{\|T^2x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^2x\|}{\|Tx\|} \geq \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}.$$

Let T be an invertible paranormal operators

Replacing x by $T^{-2}x$ in (2), we get

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|T^{-1}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-1}x\|}{\|T^{-2}x\|} \quad (3)$$

Replacing x by $T^{-1}x$ in (3)

$$\frac{\|T^{-1}x\|}{\|T^{-2}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-2}x\|}{\|T^{-3}x\|} \quad (4)$$

Repeating

$$\frac{\|T^{-2}x\|}{\|T^{-3}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-3}x\|}{\|T^{-4}x\|} \dots$$

$$\frac{\|T^{-n+1}x\|}{\|T^{-n}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-n}x\|}{\|T^{-(n+1)}x\|} \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{-(n+1)}x\| &= \|T^{-1}T^{-n}x\| \leq \|T^{-1}\|\|T^{-n}x\| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\|T^{-n}x\|}{\|T^{-(n+1)}x\|} &\geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities, we get,

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|T^{-1}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-1}x\|}{\|T^{-2}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-2}x\|}{\|T^{-3}x\|} \geq \dots \geq \frac{\|T^{-n+1}x\|}{\|T^{-n}x\|} \geq \dots \geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$$

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2

If T is a paranormal operator, then the following properties hold:

- (i) T^n is also paranormal for any natural number n .
- (ii) T is normaloid operator. i.e $\|T\| = r(T)$.
- (iii) If T is an invertible paranormal operator then so is T^{-1}

Proof:

(i) From theorem 1,

If T is a paranormal operator, then

$$\|T\| \geq \dots \geq \frac{\|T^{n+1}x\|}{\|T^n x\|} \geq \dots \frac{\|T^4 x\|}{\|T^3 x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^3 x\|}{\|T^2 x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^2 x\|}{\|Tx\|} \geq \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \quad (1)$$

Applying (1) repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|T^{2n}x\|}{\|T^n x\|} &= \frac{\|T^{2n}x\|}{\|T^{2n-1}x\|} \frac{\|T^{2n-1}x\|}{\|T^{2n-2}x\|} \dots \frac{\|T^{n+1}x\|}{\|T^n x\|} \\ &\geq \frac{\|T^n x\|}{\|T^{n-1}x\|} \frac{\|T^{n-1}x\|}{\|T^{n-2}x\|} \dots \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \\ &= \frac{\|T^n x\|}{\|x\|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e } \frac{\|T^{2n}x\|}{\|T^n x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^n x\|}{\|x\|} \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T^{2n}x\| \geq \|T^n x\|^2 \text{ for any } x \in H, \text{ with } \|x\| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow T^n \text{ is paranormal for any natural number } n$$

Hence (i) is proved.

(ii) From (1), we get

$$\frac{\|T^n x\|}{\|Tx\|} = \frac{\|T^n x\|}{\|T^{n-1}x\|} \frac{\|T^{n-1}x\|}{\|T^{n-2}x\|} \dots \frac{\|T^3 x\|}{\|T^2 x\|} \frac{\|T^2 x\|}{\|Tx\|} \geq \left(\frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \right)^{n-1} \text{ for any } x \in H.$$

$$\|T^n x\| \geq \|Tx\|^n \text{ for any } x \in H. \text{ with } \|x\| = 1$$

$$\|T^n\| \geq \|T\|^n \text{ for all } n \in I$$

$$\|T^n\| = \|T\|^n, \text{ for all } n \in I [\because \|T^n\| \leq \|T\| \|T^{n-1}\| \dots \leq \|T\|^n, n \in I]$$

$$\text{Hence } \|T\| = \|T^n\|^{1/n}, \forall n \in I.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n} = r(T)$$

Hence T is normaloid.

Hence (ii) is proved.

(iii) From $(p-2)$ of theorem 2,

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|T^{-1}x\|} \geq \frac{\|T^{-1}x\|}{\|T^{-2}x\|}$$

$$\|T^{-2}x\| \geq \|T^{-1}x\|^2, \forall x \in H \text{ with } \|x\| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{-1} \text{ is paranormal.}$$

Hence (iii) is proved.

Hence the theorem.

2.6.2 Implication relations among several classes of non-normal operator.

Theorem 1:

The following inclusion relation hold:

Self adjoint \subseteq Normal \subseteq Quasinormal \subseteq Subnormal \subseteq Hyponormal \subseteq Paranormal \subseteq Normaloid \subseteq Spectraloid.

Proof:

Let T be a Self-adjoint operator

$$\Rightarrow T = T^*$$

$$\text{Hence } T^*T = TT = TT^*$$

Hence T is normal.

Therefore Self-adjoint \subseteq Normal. (i)

Let T be a normal operator.

$$\Rightarrow T^*T = TT^*$$

$$\text{Hence } T(T^*T) = (TT^*)T = (T^*T)T$$

Hence T is quasi normal.

Therefore Normal \subseteq Quasinormal. (ii)

Let T be a Quasi normal operator.

Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T.

$$\text{Then } U|T| = |T|U \tag{1}$$

By the theorem,

" Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an operator T. Then

$T = U|T|$ is quasinormal iff $U|T| = |T|U$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore T^*T - TT^* &= |T|^2 - U|T||T|U^* \\ &= |T|^2 - |T|UU^*|T| \quad [U \text{ sing}(1)] \\ &= |T|(I - UU^*)|T| \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence T is hyponormal

Define $[T] = T^*T - TT^*$ then $[T] \geq 0$

Since T is quasi normal,

$$\begin{aligned}[T]T &= (T^*T - TT^*)T \\ &= T^*TT - TT^*T \\ &= (T^*T)T - T(T^*T) = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore ([T]T)^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^*[T] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^*[T] = 0 \quad (\because [T^*] = (T^*T - TT^*)^* = T^*T - TT^* = [T])$$

$$[T]^2T = [T][T]T = 0$$

$$\text{Similarity } T^*[T]^2 = 0 \dots$$

$$[T^n]T = T^*[T^n] = 0 \text{ for all natural number } n.$$

Let S be the square root of $[T]$. Since the square root is approximated uniformly by polynomials of $[T]$ without constant terms,

$$ST = T^*S \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Define } N = \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & T^* \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } S = [T]^{1/2}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}NN^* - N^*N &= \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & T^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & T \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & T^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} TT^* + SS^* & ST \\ T^*S^* & T^*T \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} T^*T & T^*S \\ S^*T & S^*S + TT^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} TT^* + SS^* - T^*T & ST - T^*S \\ T^*S^* - S^*T & T^*T - S^*S - TT^* \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

$$TT^* + SS^* - T^*T = SS^* - (T^*T - TT^*)$$

$$= [T] - [T] = 0$$

$$ST - T^*S = 0 \text{ by (2)}$$

$$T^*S^* - S^*T = 0 \text{ by (2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^*T - S^*S - TT^* &= T^*T - TT^* - S^*S \\ &= [T] - [T] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $NN^* - N^*N = 0$

Hence N is normal.

Hence T has a normal extension N .

Hence T is subnormal.

Quasinormal \subseteq Subnormal (iii)

Let T be a subnormal operator.

Then T has a normal extension N , which can be expressed as

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} T & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \text{ on a larger Hilbert space } K \supset H$$

Since N is normal,

$$N^*N = NN^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ X^* & Y^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ X^* & Y^* \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T^*T & T^*X \\ X^*T & X^*X + Y^*Y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} TT^* + XX^* & XY^* \\ YX^* & YY^* \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow T^*T &= TT^* + XX^* \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$T^*X = XY^* \tag{4}$$

$$X^*T = YX^* \tag{5}$$

$$X^*X + Y^*Y = YY^* \tag{6}$$

Since $XX^* \geq 0$, (3) $\Rightarrow T^*T \geq TT^*$

$\Rightarrow T$ is hyponormal.

\therefore Subnormal \subseteq hyponormal (iv)

Let T be hyponormal

$$\Rightarrow \|Tx\| \geq \|T^*x\|, \forall x \in H.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|TTx\| \geq \|T^*Tx\|, \forall x \in H. \tag{7}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tx\|^2 &= \langle Tx, Tx \rangle \\
 &= \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle \\
 &\leq \|T^*Tx\| \|x\| \\
 &\leq \|T^2x\| \|x\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T^2x\| \geq \|Tx\|^2 \quad \forall x \in H \text{ with } \|x\| = 1$$

Hence T is paranormal.

Therefore Hyponormal \subseteq paranormal (v)

Let T be a paranormal operator, then T is normaloid.

(by Theorem,

“If T is a paranormal operator, then the following properties hold:

(i) T^n is also paranormal for any natural number n.

(ii) T is normaloid operator. i.e $\|T\| = r(T)$.

(iii) If T is an invertible paranormal operator then so is T^{-1} ”

)

Hence paranormal \subseteq Normaloid (vi)

Let T be a normaloid operator

$$\Rightarrow \|T\| = r(T) \quad (8)$$

In general, $\|T\| \geq w(T) \geq r(T) = \|T\|$

$$\Rightarrow w(T) = r(T)$$

Hence T is spectraloid.

Hence Normaloid \subseteq Spectraloid (viii)

Hence the theorem.

2.7 Characterization of Convexoid operators and Related Examples

2.7.1 Characterization of Convexoid operators

Definitions

(1) An operator T is said to be a **convexoid operator** if

$$\overline{W(T)} = \text{co}\sigma(T)$$

where $\text{co}\sigma(T)$ means the convex hull of the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T .

(2) An operator T is said to be a **condition G_1 operator** if

$$\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))} \text{ for all } \mu \notin \sigma(T),$$

where $\sigma(T)$ means the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T .

(3) An operator T is said to be a **transaloid operator** if

$$T - \mu \text{ is normaloid for any } \mu \in C$$

Lemma 1:

If X is any bounded closed set in the complex plane, then

- (i) $\text{co } X = \{\text{the intersection of all circles which contain the set } X\}$
 $= \cap_{\mu} \{\lambda / |\lambda - \mu| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |x - \mu|\}$
- (ii) $\text{co } X = \{\text{the intersection of all closed half planes which contain the set } X\}$
 $= \cap_{\theta} \{\lambda / \text{Re } \lambda e^{i\theta} \geq \inf_{x \in X} \text{Re } x e^{i\theta}\}$

Theorem 1:

An operator T is convexoid if and only if $T - \lambda$ is spectraloid for all complex numbers λ .

Proof:

Since $\overline{W(T)}$ is convex,

$$\overline{W(T)} = \cap_{\mu} \{\lambda / |\lambda - \mu| \leq w(T - \mu)\} \quad (1)$$

By lemma,

- (i) $\text{co } X = \{\text{the intersection of all circles which contain the set } X\}$
 $= \cap_{\mu} \{\lambda / |\lambda - \mu| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |x - \mu|\}$
- (ii) $\text{co } X = \{\text{the intersection of all closed half planes which contain the set } X\}$
 $= \cap_{\theta} \{\lambda / \text{Re} \lambda e^{i\theta} \geq \inf_{x \in X} \text{Re} x e^{i\theta}\} \quad (2)$

Now, T is convexoid $\iff \overline{W(T)} = \text{co}\sigma(T)$ [by Definition]

$$\iff \cap_{\mu} \{\lambda / |\lambda - \mu| \leq w(T - \mu)|\}$$

$$= \cap_{\mu} \{\lambda / |\lambda - \mu| \leq r(T - \mu)|\}$$

$$\iff w(T - \lambda) = r(T - \lambda), \forall \lambda \in C [\because \sigma(T) - \lambda = \sigma(T - \lambda), \text{co}\sigma(T) - \lambda = \text{co}\sigma(T - \lambda)]$$

Hence $T - \lambda$ is spectraloid for all λ .

Theorem 2

An operator T is convexoid iff $\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T)$

Proof:

Let T be convexoid operator.

$$\Rightarrow \overline{W(T)} = \text{co}\sigma(T)$$

By the result,

$$\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \overline{W(T)})}, \forall \mu \notin \overline{W(T)}$$

$$\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T)$$

Conversely, assume that $\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T)$

$$\Rightarrow \|(T - \mu)x\| \geq d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T)), \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T) \text{ and } \|x\| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Tx - \mu x\|^2 \geq d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))^2, \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T) \text{ and } \|x\| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Tx\|^2 - 2\text{Re} \langle Tx, x \rangle \bar{\mu} + |\mu|^2 \geq \inf_{s \in \text{co}\sigma(T)} (|s|^2 - 2\text{Re} s \bar{\mu} + |\mu|^2) \quad (1)$$

Take $\mu = |\mu|e^{-i(\theta+\pi)}$ in (1)

$$\|Tx\|^2 - 2\text{Re} \langle Tx, x \rangle e^{i(\theta+\pi)} + |\mu|^2 \geq \inf_{s \in \text{co}\sigma(T)} (|s|^2 - 2\text{Re} s |\mu| e^{i(\theta+\pi)} + |\mu|^2)$$

(2)

$$(2) \div |\mu|,$$

$$\frac{\|Tx\|^2}{|\mu|} - 2\text{Re} \langle Tx, x \rangle e^{i(\theta+\pi)} + |\mu| \geq \inf_{s \in \text{co}\sigma(T)} \left(\frac{|s|^2}{|\mu|} - 2\text{Re} s |\mu| e^{i(\theta+\pi)} + |\mu| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|Tx\|^2}{|\mu|} - 2Re \langle Tx, x \rangle e^{i(\theta+\pi)} &\geq \inf_{s \in \cos(T)} \left(\frac{|s|^2}{|\mu|} - 2Res|\mu|e^{i(\theta+\pi)} \right) \\ -2Re \langle Tx, x \rangle |\mu|e^{i(\theta+\pi)} &\geq \inf_{s \in \cos(T)} (-2Rese^{i(\theta+\pi)}) \text{ as } |\mu| \rightarrow \infty \\ Re \langle Tx, x \rangle |\mu|e^{i(\theta+\pi)} &\geq \inf_{s \in \cos(T)} Res|\mu|e^{i(\theta+\pi)} \text{ for } \|x\| = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

\Rightarrow If $\lambda \in \overline{W(T)}$, then $\lambda = \langle Tx, x \rangle$ for some $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$

$\therefore (3) \Rightarrow Re\lambda e^{i\theta} \geq \inf_{s \in \cos(T)} Rese^{i\theta}$ for all θ .

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \cap_{\theta} \{ \lambda / Re\lambda e^{i\theta} \geq \inf_{s \in \cos(T)} Rese^{i\theta} \}$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \cos(T)$

Hence $\overline{W(T)} \subseteq \cos(T)$

Since $\overline{W(T)} \supseteq \cos(T)$ is always true.

$\overline{W(T)} = \cos(T)$

Hence T is convexoid.

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 3:

Let T be a hyponormal operator. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) $T - \mu$ is also a hyponormal for any $\mu \in C$.
- (ii) T is a transaloid operator.
- (iii) T^{-1} is also a hyponormal operator if T^{-1} exists.
- (iv) T is a condition G_1 operator.

Proof:

Let T be a hyponormal operator.

$$\Rightarrow T^*T \geq TT^* \quad (1)$$

(i) let $\mu \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \mu)^*(T - \mu) - (T - \mu)(T - \mu)^* &= (T^* - \bar{\mu})(T - \mu) - (T - \mu)(T^* - \bar{\mu}) \\ &= (T^*T - \bar{\mu}T - \mu T^* + |\mu|^2) - (TT^* - \mu T^* - \bar{\mu}T + |\mu|^2) \\ &= T^*T - TT^* \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T - \mu$ is hyponormal for all $\mu \in C$.

(ii) By (i), $T - \mu$ is hyponormal for all $\mu \in C$

$\Rightarrow T - \mu$ is normaloid for all $\mu \in C$ [\because hyponormal operators are normaloid.]

$\Rightarrow T$ is transaloid operator.

(iii) By (i), $T^*T \geq TT^*$

$\Rightarrow T^{-1}T^*TT^{*-1} \geq I$

$\Rightarrow (T^{-1}T^*TT^{*-1})^{-1} \leq I^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow T^*T^{-1}T^{*-1}T \leq I$

or $I \geq T^*T^{-1}T^{*-1}T$

i.e $T^{*-1}T^{-1} \geq T^{-1}T^{*-1}$

i.e $(T^{-1})^*T^{-1} \geq T^{-1}(T^{-1})^*$

$\Rightarrow T^{-1}$ is also hyponormal, if T^{-1} exists.

(iv) Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))} &= \sup \frac{1}{|\sigma(T) - \mu|} \text{ for any } \mu \notin \sigma(T) \\ &= \sup \frac{1}{|\sigma(T - \mu)|} [\text{by spectral mapping theorem}] \\ &= \sup |\sigma(T - \mu)^{-1}| [\because \sigma(T^{-1}) = \{\sigma(T)\}^{-1}] \\ &= \sigma(T - \mu)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e } \frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))} = \sigma(T - \mu)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

By (i), $T - \mu$ is hyponormal for any $\mu \in C$.

By (iii), $(T - \mu)^{-1}$ is hyponormal for any $\mu \in C$.

Since a hyponormal operator is a normaloid, $r(T - \mu)^{-1} = \|(T - \mu)^{-1}\|$ (2)

From (1) and (2)

$$\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))} \quad \forall \mu \notin \sigma(T).$$

Hence T is a condition G_1 operator.

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 4:

The following properties hold:

(i) If T is a transaloid operator, then T is a convexoid operator

(ii) If T is a condition G_1 operator, then T is a convexoid operator

Proof:

(i) Let T be a transaloid operator, then by definition

$T - \mu$ is normaloid for any $\mu \in C$

Since a normaloid operator is also a spectraloid operator, $T - \mu$ is spectraloid for any $\mu \in C$

Hence T is convexoid.

By the theorem, " An operator T is convexoid iff $T - \lambda$ is spectraloid for all complex number λ "

Hence (i) is proved.

(ii) Let T be a condition G_1 operator

$$\Rightarrow \|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \sigma(T)$$

$$\because \text{co}\sigma(T) \supseteq \sigma(T), d(\mu, \sigma(T)) \geq d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))$$

$$\frac{1}{d(\mu, \sigma(T))} \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \sigma(T)$$

Hence T is convexoid.

By theorem, " An operator T is convexoid iff $\|(T - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(\mu, \text{co}\sigma(T))}, \forall \mu \notin \text{co}\sigma(T)$ "

Hence (ii) is proved.

Hence the theorem.

Corollary 5:

(i) Every hyponormal operator is convexoid

(ii) Every normal operator is convexoid

Proof:

(i) Let T be hyponormal operator, then T is transaloid.

Then T is a convexoid operators.

By theorem, "Let T be a hyponormal operator. Then the following properties hold:

(i) $T - \mu$ is also a hyponormal for any $\mu \in C$.

- (ii) T is a transaloid operator.
- (iii) T^{-1} is also a hyponormal operator if T^{-1} exists.
- (iv) T is a condition G_1 operator.

and " If T is a transaloid operator, then T is a convexoid operator"

Hence a hyponormal is convexoid.

(ii) Since every normal operator is a hyponormal operator and every hyponormal operator is convexoid, every normal operator is convexoid.

Theorem 6:

An operator T is convexoid iff $Re\Sigma(e^{i\theta}T) = \Sigma(Re(e^{i\theta}T))$ for any $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, where $\Sigma(S)$ denotes $co\sigma(S)$.

Proof:

Let T be a convexoid operator.

$$\Rightarrow \overline{W(T)} = co\sigma(T) = \Sigma(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{W(Re(e^{i\theta}T))} = \Sigma(Re(e^{i\theta}T)) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{But } \overline{W(Re(e^{i\theta}T))} = Re\overline{W}(e^{i\theta}T) = Re\Sigma(e^{i\theta}T) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2),

$Re\Sigma(e^{i\theta}T) = \Sigma(Re(e^{i\theta}T))$ for any $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, where $\Sigma(S)$ denotes $co\sigma(S)$.

Conversely, assume that $Re\Sigma(e^{i\theta}T) = \Sigma(Re(e^{i\theta}T))$ then $Re\{\Sigma(e^{i\theta}T)\} = \Sigma\{(Re(e^{i\theta}T))\}$